## MORE ON TIME SERIES REGRESSION

## 1. RATIONAL FORECAST REGRESSION

• It is indeed standard practice in models involving overlapping multi-step fore-casts like the  $y_{t+k} = \alpha + \beta F_t + \varepsilon_{t+k}$  model we discussed last time, to base inference on the approximation

$$Var(\hat{\beta}_{OLS}) = (X'X)^{-1}X'\Omega X(X'X)^{-1}$$
,

which is exact for the model with exogenous *X* and  $E[\varepsilon \varepsilon'] = \Omega$ .

- For the *k*-step forecast model, because the X's are not exogenous, it is not true that  $Var(\varepsilon \mid X) = \Omega$ , even though unconditionally  $E[\varepsilon \varepsilon'] = \Omega$ .
- Nonetheless under some regularity conditions, satisfied for example when the *y* and *F* vectors are jointly normal, the standard variance matrix formula becomes accurate asymptotically. Proving this is not terribly hard, but we don't have time for it.
- Note that this kind of regression equation is the building block for the expectational theory of the term structure of interest rates, among others.
- 2. LIKELIHOOD-BASED INFERENCE FOR THE FORECAST REGRESSION MODEL
- One can't do likelihood-based inference here without taking a stand on how the *F*'s depend on the *y*'s.
- Simple case: k = 2, all the dependence is taken care of with one lag:

$$y_t = \alpha_{10} + \alpha_{11}y_{t-1} + \alpha_{12}F_{t-1} + \eta_{1t}$$
  

$$F_t = \alpha_{10} + \alpha_{11}y_{t-1} + \alpha_{12}F_{t-1} + \eta_{2t},$$

where we are assuming that  $E_t \eta_{t+1} = 0$  (and that the unsubscripted  $\eta$  is the two  $\eta_i$ 's stacked).

• Letting  $X_t = [y_t F_t]'$ , this is in the form  $X_t = c + AX_{t-1} + \eta_t$ . By substituting this equation into itself we arrive at

$$X_{t+2} = c + Ac + A^2X_t + \eta_t + A\eta_{t-1}$$
,

from which we can read off  $E_t[X_{t+2}]$ 

• If we want to test, then, that  $E_t[y_{t+2}] = F_t$ , we actually are testing that

$$\alpha_{11}^2 + \alpha_{12}\alpha_{21} = 0$$

$$\alpha_{11}\alpha_{12} + \alpha_{22}^2 = 1$$

$$\alpha_{11}\alpha_{10} + \alpha_{12}\alpha_{20} = 0$$

So we should explore the likelihood to see how much probability is near the region where these constraints are satisfied.

## 2

- 3. FINDING THE UNCONDITIONAL DISTRIBUTION TO USE FOR IC'S
- In a pure AR model with i.i.d.  $\varepsilon_t$ , assuming there is a stationary unconditional joint distribution for  $\{y_t, \dots, y_{t-\ell+1}\}$ , it is a matter of some slightly messy algebra to derive the covariance matrix of initial conditions from the  $\rho_s$ 's and  $\sigma^2$ .
- The AR model is

$$y_t = \alpha + \sum_{s=1}^{\ell} \rho_s y_{t-s} + \varepsilon_t.$$

- Assuming  $Ey_t$  is constant across t,  $Ey_t = \alpha/(1 \sum \rho_s)$ .
- Assuming  $Cov(y_t, y_{t-s}) = R_s = R_{-s}$ , we can use the AR equation to derive

$$R_s = \sum_{v=1}^{\ell} \rho_s R_{|s-v-1|} + \delta_s \sigma^2 \ s = 0, \dots, \ell.$$

Using these equations for  $s = 0, ..., \ell - 1$ , we have  $\ell$  equations in the  $\ell$  unknowns  $R_0, ..., R_s$ , from which, under normality, we can construct the covariance matrix of  $y_0, ..., y_{-\ell}$  and hence, under normality, the joint distribution of the initial conditions.

- Note that this whole argument obviously depends on a) the sum of the  $\rho_s$ 's not being 1 (for the mean calculation) and b) on the existence of a solution for the  $R_s$ 's in which they can be used to populate a covariance matrix  $[R_{|i-j|}]$  that turns out to be positive definite. In particular, we must have  $R_0 > 0$  in the solution. This is not automatic. There are  $\rho_s$  vectors that imply that no stationary distribution for the y's exists.
- Using this approach to forming a distribution for initial conditions is only possible if nonstationary behavior for *y* is ruled out a priori. The conditional likelihood does not take a special form in such nonstationary cases, and they may represent economic behavior we don't want to rule out.