

Estimation

December 24, 2013

Point estimation as a decision problem

- General decision problem: choose $\delta(Y)$ to minimize

$$E[\mathcal{L}(\theta, \delta(Y)) | Y] .$$

- Estimation: We want to observe Y , then set δ as close as possible to θ .
I.e.,

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta, \delta) = \mathcal{M}(\rho(\theta, \delta)) ,$$

where ρ is a metric (a measure of distance between points) and \mathcal{M} is an increasing function.

- Examples of ρ : Ordinary Euclidean distance $\sqrt{\sum(a_i^2)}$, uniform $\max(|a_i|)$, absolute deviation $\sum(|a_i|)$.
- There are different versions of estimation, depending on the form of $\mathcal{M}(\rho(\cdot))$.

Estimation with quadratic loss

- $\mathcal{M}(\rho(a)) = a'Wa$, where W p.s.d.
- Regardless of what W is, optimal δ is $\delta(Y) = \hat{\theta}(Y) = E[\theta | Y]$.
- Proof: Suppose $\delta(Y) = \hat{\delta}(Y) + \gamma(Y)$, with $\gamma(Y)$ not zero. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & E[\mathcal{L}(\theta, \delta(Y)) | Y] \\ &= E[(\theta - \hat{\theta}(Y))'W(\theta - \hat{\theta}(Y)) + 2(\theta - \hat{\theta}(Y))'W\gamma(Y) + \gamma(Y)'W\gamma(Y) | Y] \\ &= E[(\theta - \hat{\theta}(Y))'W(\theta - \hat{\theta}(Y)) | Y] + \gamma(Y)'W\gamma(Y) \geq \mathcal{L}(\theta, \hat{\theta}(Y)) . \end{aligned}$$

Estimation with absolute error loss

- $\mathcal{M}(\rho(a)) = \sum w_i |a_i|$
- Regardless of the w_i 's, it is optimal to choose $\delta(Y) = \check{\theta}$ such that $P[\theta_i < \check{\theta}_i | Y] = .5$, i.e. $\check{\theta}$ is the element-wise median of $\theta | Y$.
- Notice that if θ is β in the SNLM with a conjugate prior, $\hat{\theta} = \check{\theta}$ and both are OLS applied to the data augmented by dummy observations.

Non-Bayesian approaches to estimation

- Consider candidate $\delta(Y)$'s, check the properties of the distribution of $\delta(Y) | \theta$. There are a variety of properties for this distribution that are considered desirable.
- $\delta(Y)$ is an **unbiased** estimator for θ if and only if $E[\delta(Y) | \theta] = \theta$ for every θ .
- While this sounds kind of reasonable, it is important to note that it is quite different from the criterion for an optimal estimator under quadratic loss, which is instead $E[\theta | Y] = \delta(Y)$. Indeed, if $E[\delta(Y)^2] < \infty$, it is impossible that an unbiased estimator coincides with $E[\theta | Y]$.

- Proof: $E[(\delta(Y) - \theta)\theta] = 0$, by definition of conditional expectation and $E[\delta(Y) | \theta] = \theta$. But

$$\begin{aligned} E[(\delta(Y) - \theta)\theta] &= E[-(\delta(Y) - \theta)^2] + E[(\delta(Y) - \theta)\delta(Y)] \\ &= -\text{Var}(\delta(Y) - \theta) < 0, \rightarrow \leftarrow, \end{aligned}$$

unless of course $\theta \equiv \delta(Y)$ so there is no estimation error at all.

- Note that this result does depend on there being a proper prior, so that the unconditional expectation of θ is defined.