Eco504 Spring 2009 C. Sims

## CAPITAL TAX EXERCISE, DUE FRIDAY, 3/6

Consider a model in which a single representative agent solves

$$\max_{C,K,B} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t} \left( \log C_{t} + \log(1 - L_{t}) \right) \qquad \text{subject to}$$
 (1)

$$C_t + K_t + B_t = AK_{t-1} + L_t + R_{t-1}B_{t-1} - \tau L_t - \nu K_{t-1}$$
(2)

$$B \ge 0, \qquad K \ge 0. \tag{3}$$

Assume A > 0 and  $\beta > 0$ . At least at first, assume  $A\beta > 1$ ,  $\beta < 1$ , which means that in the absence of taxation and government spending, the economy will grow steadily, though other cases are also of some interest.

The government sets  $\tau$ , the labor tax, and  $\nu$ , the capital tax. We assume there is no option of making these time-varying. The rates are being set at time t=0 and must be kept constant thereafter. (This could be motivated, roughly speaking, by the idea that a commitment to a fixed tax rate will be believed by the public, but tax rates announced now to change in the future are not believed.) The government has a constant, exogenously fixed, burden of expenditures  $\bar{g}$  to finance, so that the government budget constraint is

$$B_t + \tau L_t + \nu K_{t-1} = R_{t-1} B_{t-1} + \bar{g}. \tag{4}$$

Assuming the government wants to maximize representative agent welfare, find the optimal values of  $\tau$  and  $\nu$ . The answer will depend on initial  $B_{-1}$  and possibly also  $K_{-1}$ , which you should treat as given. Assume private agents and the government have perfect foresight, so there is no uncertainty. [You should be able to solve analytically for the time paths of C and L. You then can discount welfare analytically and get a formula for welfare in terms of  $\tau$  and  $\nu$ . You may need to use the fact that  $\sum_{0}^{\infty} sa^{s} = a/(1-a)^{2}$ .]