## RANDOM LAGRANGE MULTIPLIER AND TRANSVERSALITY EXERCISE

(1) The standard analytically solvable stochastic growth model is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\max _{\left\{K_{t}, C_{t}\right\}} E\left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t} \log C_{t}\right] \quad \text { subject to } \\
C_{t}+K_{t}=A_{t} K_{t-1}^{\alpha}, \quad t=0, \ldots, \infty
\end{gathered}
$$

$K_{-1}$ and the distribution of $\left\{A_{t}\right\}$ given.
(a) Find the Euler equation first order conditions for a solution. These are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\partial C: & \frac{1}{C_{t}}=\lambda_{t} \\
\partial K: & \lambda_{t}=\beta E_{t}\left[\lambda_{t+1}\right] \alpha K_{t}^{\alpha-1} .
\end{array}
$$

(b) Verify that there is a solution to the Euler equations and the constraint that makes $C_{t}$ proportional to $K_{t}$ at every $t$.
Guess the solution as $C_{t}=\gamma K_{t}$. Then the FOC's and constraint, wilth $\lambda$ solved out, can be rewritten

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{\gamma K_{t}}=\beta \alpha E_{t}\left[\frac{A_{t+1} K_{t}^{\alpha-1}}{\gamma K_{t+1}}\right]  \tag{1}\\
(1+\gamma) K_{t}=A_{t} K_{t-1}^{\alpha} \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Using (2) in (1), we get

$$
\frac{1}{\gamma K_{t}}=\beta \alpha \frac{1+\gamma}{\gamma K_{t}}
$$

and thus conclude that $\gamma=(1-\beta \alpha) /(\beta \alpha)$.
(c) Verify that the conditions for the application of the standard TVC are present and that it is satisfied at the solution you have found with $C$ proportional to $K$. Do you have to invoke regularity conditions on the stochastic process followed by $A_{t}$ in order to get your result? If so, what are they?
The only variable that enters the problem with a lag is $K_{t}$, so it plays the role of $S_{t}$. The constraint can be solved, simply by moving $C_{t}$ over to the right-hand-side, as required for applyling the standard TVC. It is certainly feasible to let $K_{t}$ go to zero, even though doing so will force $C_{t} \rightarrow 0$ also, so is likely to be a bad idea. The problem does not say explicitly that $K_{t}$ has to stay positive, but this is meant to be implicit in the production function, which, for $0<\alpha<1$, produces imaginary numbers if we try to evaluate it at negative
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$K$. The constraint is naturally interpreted as an inequality of the required ( $\leq$ ) sense, even though the problem statement (mistakenly) said it was an equality. One could also observe that the $\leq$ side of the equality constraint will never be binding, so the problem is unchanged if we replace the equality constraint with an inequality. Finally $K$ does not appear in the objective function. So the conditions for applying the standard TVC are met. It is, in this case, $E\left[\beta^{t} K_{t} / C_{t}\right] \rightarrow 0$. Since on our solution path $K_{t} / C_{t}$ is constant, the TVC is trivially satisfied. To be sure that this guarantees we have a solution, we have to check that the objective function is concave and that the constraint set is convex. The objective function is concave because the log function is concave. So long as the objective function is defined for the two random sequences $C_{1 t}$ and $C_{2 t}$, it is clear then that $\theta V\left(C_{1}\right)+(1-\theta) V\left(C_{2}\right) \leq V\left(\theta C_{1}+(1-\theta) C_{2}\right)$, where $V$ is the objective function and $\theta$ is a number in $(0,1)$, which is the definition of concavity. Each constraint is of the form $g\left(K_{t}, C_{t}, K_{t-1}, A_{t}\right) \leq 0$, with $g$ a convex function in its first three arguments. This implies that the set of $K_{t}, C_{t}, K_{t-1}$ values it defines is convex. Since the intersection of (even a countable infinity of) convex sets is convex, the full constraint set is convex.
(d) (Extra credit in case you are aching to apply your rusty real analysis tools.) Define a linear space whose points map one to one into pairs of $\left\{C_{t}\right\}$ and $\left\{K_{t}\right\}$ sequences and a metric on the space such that the objective function in this problem is continuous and the constraint set is contained entirely in the linear space. Verify the concavity of the objective function and the convexity of the constraint set. Find the continuous linear functional that separates the constraint set from the set of points preferred to the optimum. Discuss how this functional is related to the Euler equations and the TVC.
Pick the space to be that of the sequences $\left\{\log C_{t}\right\},\left\{K_{t}\right\}$ with the norm

$$
\left\|\left\{X_{t}\right\},\left\{Y_{t}\right\}\right\|=E\left[\sum \beta^{t}\left|\log C_{t}\right|+\sum \beta^{t}\left|K_{t}\right|\right]
$$

On this space, the objective function is linear and thus obviously concave. Since $K_{t}$ does not enter the objective function, the tangent plane to the objective function at the candidate optimum $\bar{C}_{t}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d V(d C, d K)=E\left[\sum_{t}\left(\beta^{t} d \log C_{t}+0 \cdot d K_{t}\right)\right] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

assuming this is actually a continuous linear functional under our chosen norm. But since the absolute value of this functional is clearly less than $\left\|\left\{C_{t}\right\},\left\{X_{t}\right\}\right\|$, the function is clearly continuous at zero, which is of course all that we need to show to demonstrate continuity of a linear function. The only remaining problem is to show that at the candidate optimum $\left\{\bar{C}_{t}\right\},\left\{\bar{K}_{t}\right\}$, every point in the constraint set satifies $d V(d C, d K) \leq 0$. The easiest way to proceed here is to use the results in the extended random Lagrange multiplier notes. Let

$$
d g_{t}\left(d \log C_{t}, d K_{t}, d K_{t-1}, d A_{t}\right)=\bar{C}_{t} d \log C_{t}+d K_{t}-d A_{t} \bar{K}_{t-1}^{\alpha}-\alpha A_{t} \bar{K}_{t-1} d K_{t-1}
$$

be the differential of the time-t constraint evaluated at the candidate optimum. Every one of these differentials is non-positive for any feasible pair of $C$ and $K$ sequences because of the convexity of the constraint function. So if we can construct the tangent to the preferred set as the limit of linear combinations of the $d g_{t}$ 's with all non-negative weights, we will have shown that the tangency function $d V$ is non-positive for any feasible deviation from the candidate optimum. But this is just saying we want to find Lagrange multipliers, and the TVC is just the condition required to make the weighted linear combinations of finitely many $d g_{t}$ functions converge to the tangency function.

