## FTPL EXERCISE

In the following model, we generalize the model discussed in class by allowing an arbitrary utility function, while simplifying by eliminating money. We consider a combination of "active money" with "passive fiscal" policies, in Leeper's terminology.

The agent maximizes

$$E\left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty}\beta^{t}U(C_{t})\right]$$
(1)

subject to

$$C_t + \frac{B_t}{P_t} + \tau_t = \frac{R_{t-1}B_{t-1}}{P_t} + Y_t$$
(2)

$$B_t \ge 0$$
, all t . (3)

The government budget constraint is

$$\frac{B_t}{P_t} + \tau_t = \frac{R_{t-1}B_{t-1}}{P_t} \,. \tag{4}$$

Suppose the government's monetary and fiscal policies are to set

$$R_t = \left(\frac{U'(C_t)}{P_t}\right)^{-\theta}$$
(5)

$$\tau_t = -\phi_0 + \phi_1 \frac{B_{t-1}}{P_{t-1}} \tag{6}$$

Assume  $\theta > 0$ , so *R* increases when *P* increases, and  $\phi_0 > 0$ ,  $\phi_1 > \beta^{-1} - 1$ .

- (a) Show that there is only one equilibrium in which prices, taxes and debt follow stable paths. [Hint: Log the Fisher equation and use Jensen's inequality:  $log(E[X]) \ge E[log(X)]$  because log() is concave. Use the result to derive relations of the form  $E[X_{t+1}] \ge X_t$  for both the positive and negative parts of  $log(U'(C_t)/P_t)$ . Then use the fact that if  $E_t[X_{t+1}] \ge X_t$  for all t and  $X_t$  is bounded above for all t, then  $X_t$  converges a.s.]
- (b) Show that equilibrium is nonetheless not unique.

Comment: Note that in the model of the lectures uniqueness depended sensitively on money being "essential". Here money is very much non-essential, being nonexistent.

<sup>©2005</sup> by Christopher A. Sims. This document may be reproduced for educational and research purposes, so long as the copies contain this notice and are retained for personal use or distributed free.