EXERCISE ON DYNAMIC PANELS

We assume

$$\begin{array}{c} z_{it} \\ _{2\times 1} = \begin{bmatrix} y_{it} \\ x_{it} \end{bmatrix} , \tag{1}$$

$$z_{it} = c_i + A z_{i,t-1} + \varepsilon_{it} , \qquad (2)$$

$$\varepsilon_{it} \mid \{z_{i,t-s}, s > 0\} \sim N(0, \Sigma) , \qquad (3)$$

$$t = 1, \dots, T, \quad i = 1, \dots, M.$$
 (4)

All the eigenvalues of *A* are assumed less than one in absolute value, and the z_{it} process for each *i* is assumed stationary over all *t*, not just t = 1, ..., T The ε_{it} processes are assumed independent across *i*.

- (1) Explain in enough detail so that an undergrad RA who knows programming and matrix algebra, but not statistics or time series theory, could implement a joint pdf for $\{z_{it,t=1,...,T,i=1,...,M}\}$ conditional on $\{c_i, i = 1,...,M\}$, A, and Σ . Will maximizing this pdf over $\{c_i, i = 1,...,M, A, \Sigma\}$ produce consistent estimates of any of the parameters as $M \to \infty$ with T fixed? Note that because c_i is being treated as a "parameter" here and not given a distribution, your answer may depend on some assumption about the $\{c_i\}$ sequence.
- (2) Now assume that we specify $c_i \sim N(\gamma, \Omega)$ for each *i*, and that c_i is independent across *i*. Show how to combine this assumed pdf for c_i with the conditional pdf you described in 1 to obtain a joint pdf for $\{z_{it}\}$ and $\{c_i\}$ conditional on γ , Ω , *A*, and Σ .
- (3) Explain either
 - (a) how to integrate $\{c_i\}$ out of the likelihood function you specified in 2; or
 - (b) a MCMC algorithm to sample from the joint posterior on all the parameters, including the c_i, (which would deliver of course also a sample from the marginal posterior on the non-c_i parameters).
- (4) Prove that use of this likelihood, assuming the model is correct, produces consistent estimates of the parameters as $M \rightarrow \infty$ with *T* fixed. (Note that the model implies that the *z* data for each group is a normally distributed vector with a distribution that is a known function of the model parameters.)
- (5) We know that in a single equation model of the form

$$y_{it} = c_i + \rho y_{i,t-1} + \xi_{it}$$

the equation coefficients other than c_i can be estimated by the Arellano-Bond strategy of differencing the equation to eliminate c_i , then using lagged Δy or lagged y as instruments. However, as ρ approaches 1, these instruments become arbitrarily weak. Suppose we consider estimating the first equation of the system (2) above. Can we diffference the equation and use lagged Δz or lagged z as instruments? Is there a condition analogous to $\rho \rightarrow 1$ in the single-equation case that would imply these instruments become arbitrarily weak?

Date: May 9, 2018.

^{©2018} by Christopher A. Sims. ©2018. This document is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License.

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/