

# Wold Decomposition

Christopher A. Sims  
Princeton University  
sims@princeton.edu

March 29, 2015

## Preliminaries

If we have a set (possibly countably infinite) of random variables  $\{X_i\}$ , the set of all finite linear combinations of them forms a linear space.

We can define an inner product, and thus a norm on that space as  $\langle X, Y \rangle = \text{Cov}(X, Y)$ . Then defining the distance between  $X$  and  $Y$  as  $\|X - Y\|$ , our space is a metric space. We can **complete** the metric space by extending it to include all limits of Cauchy sequences. That is, if  $\{Z_i, i = 1, \dots, \infty\}$  has the property that  $\|Z_m - Z_n\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $Z_\infty = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} Z_i$  is in the space.

# Projections

Suppose  $G$  is a complete linear subspace of  $H$ , with a Hilbert space (i.e., innerproduct and norm defined) structure. We can define the operator  $\mathcal{E}$  by

$$\mathcal{E}[X | H] = Z \in H \text{ that minimizes } \|X - Z\| .$$

It is not hard to prove that such a  $Z$  must always exist and is unique.

If  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are two subspaces of  $H$  such that  $\langle X, Y \rangle = 0$  whenever  $X \in G_1$  and  $Y \in G_2$ , we say that  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are **orthogonal**, or  $G_1 \perp G_2$ . In that case it is not hard to show that  $\mathcal{E}[X | G_1, G_2] = \mathcal{E}[X | G_1] + \mathcal{E}[X | G_2]$ .

It is always true that  $X - \mathcal{E}[X | G] \perp G$ .

# A finite variance stochastic process and its predictive projections

Now let  $Y_t, t = -\infty, \dots, \infty$  be a vector valued stochastic process. That is, each  $Y_t$  is an  $n$ -dimensional random vector, and the probability law of the stochastic process specifies mutually consistent joint distributions for any finite collection of the  $\{Y_t\}$  variables.

Let  $H_t$  be the complete metric space generated by  $\{Y_s, s \leq t\}$ .

We can always project  $Y_t$  on  $H_{t-1}$  and express the gap between the two as  $\varepsilon_t = Y_t - \mathcal{E}[Y_t | H_{t-1}]$ .

$\varepsilon_t$  is the **innovation** in  $Y_t$ .

## Recursive projections, Wold representation

$H_t$  for any  $t$  is the same as the space spanned by  $\varepsilon_t, H_{t-1}$ . (This is obvious if you think about the definitions.) Therefore we can write

$$y_t = \varepsilon_t + \mathcal{E}[y_t \mid \varepsilon_{t-1}] + \mathcal{E}[y_t \mid H_{t-2}] = \varepsilon_t + A_1 \varepsilon_{t-1} + \mathcal{E}[y_t \mid H_{t-2}].$$

The  $A_1$  is a square matrix of coefficients. Since  $\varepsilon_t$  is of dimension  $n$  the space it spans is just the space of linear combinations of elements of the  $\varepsilon_t$  vector, so each element of the  $\mathcal{E}[Y_t \mid \varepsilon_{t-1}]$  vector is a linear combination of elements of  $\varepsilon_{t-1}$ , given by a row of  $A_1$ .

Repeating this  $T$  times, we get

$$y_t = \sum_{s=0}^{T-1} A_s \varepsilon_{t-s} + \mathcal{E}[Y_t | H_{t-T}] = \tilde{y}_t^T + \bar{y}_t^T .$$

## Taking limits

$\text{Var}(\tilde{y}_t^T)$  is increasing in  $T$  and is bounded above by  $\text{Var}(y_t)$ . (These are matrices, so we mean by “increasing” that their differences are positive semi-definite, which implies their diagonal elements are non-negative.) It is therefore a Cauchy sequence and has a limit we call simply  $\tilde{y}_t$ . This is the **linearly regular** piece of  $y_t$ .

$\text{Var}(\bar{y}_t^T)$  is decreasing in  $T$  and bounded below by zero, so it too is Cauchy and has a limit, which we call  $\bar{y}_t$ .

Note that  $\bar{y}_t$  is in  $H_{t-T}$  for every  $T$ , so  $\mathcal{E}[\bar{y}_t \mid H_{t-T}] = \bar{y}_t$ , for every  $T$ . In other words,  $\bar{y}_t$  can be forecast arbitrarily well from data on  $y_s$  before time  $t - T$ , no matter how far back in the past these data are. So we call this part the **linearly deterministic** part.

# Stationarity

If the  $y$  process is stationary, meaning the joint distribution of  $\{X_1, \dots, X_m\}$  is the same as that of  $\{X_{s+1}, \dots, X_{s+m}\}$  for any  $s$ , no matter what  $m$  we start with, then our decomposition above produces the same  $A_s$  sequence, no matter what  $t$  we pick for  $y_t$ . Furthermore  $\tilde{y}_t$ ,  $\bar{y}_t$ , and  $\varepsilon_t$  will then also be stationary.

A stationary process is called linearly regular if its linearly deterministic component is zero. It is called linearly deterministic if its linearly regular component is zero. (For a non-stationary process, we can do the same decomposition at any  $t$ , but the component processes could have variance zero for some dates and not for others.)

Examples of LR stationary processes: i.i.d.  $N(0, I)$ ; stationary AR(1) ( $\mathcal{E}[y_t | H_{t-1}] = \rho y_{t-1}$ ,  $\text{Var } \varepsilon_t$  constant)



Examples of LD stationary processes:  $y_t = \sin(t + \theta)$ ,  $\theta \sim U(0, 2\pi)$ ;  
 $y_t \sim N(0, 1)$ ,  $y_t \equiv y_{t-1}$ ;  $y_t = \sum_s e^{-(t-s)^2} \nu_{t-s}$ ,  $\nu$  i.i.d.  $N(0, 1)$ .