

## Final Take Home Exam

### 1. FTPL

Consider a model in which the real rate of interest is constant at  $\rho$  (either because consumption is constant or because there is an asset with a fixed rate of return—we don't model the reason). The government begins at time  $t = 0$  with a policy of pegging the price level  $P$  at a constant  $\bar{P}$ . It also at the start has a fiscal policy that makes the level of per capita lump sum taxes  $\tau$  respond to the level of per capita real government debt according to

$$\tau = -\phi_0 + \phi_1 b. \quad (1)$$

However, there is a maximum feasible level of taxation  $\tau = \bar{\tau}$ . If taxation ever reaches this level, the government abandons the fixed- $P$  policy in favor of a fixed- $r$  policy that sets  $r = \rho$ , while setting  $\tau \equiv \bar{\tau}$  forever thereafter.

The government's budget constraint is

$$\dot{B} = rB - P\tau, \quad (2)$$

the usual definition of the real rate applies, i.e.

$$\rho = r - \frac{\hat{P}}{\bar{P}}, \quad (3)$$

and we are using our usual notation for real and nominal debt,

$$b = \frac{B}{P}. \quad (4)$$

The solution to this model will have the government following (1) and the price peg for some initial period of time  $(0, T)$ , then switching to the  $r = \rho$ ,  $\tau = \bar{\tau}$  policy. It is possible that  $T$  turns out to be 0, i.e. that the attempt to fix  $P$  cannot be sustained even for an instant.

- i. Explain why the price level cannot jump discontinuously at  $T > 0$  if agents are willingly holding positive, finite amounts of government debt.
- ii. Find the constant level  $\bar{b}$  of  $b$  that prevails for dates  $t \geq T$ , as a function of  $\bar{\tau}$  and  $\rho$ .
- iii. Use your analysis in i and ii to find an expression for  $B_T$  as a function of  $\bar{\tau}$ ,  $\rho$ , and  $\bar{P}$ .
- iv. Find a differential equation for  $B$  that applies for  $t \in (0, T)$ , making  $\dot{B}_t$  a function of  $B_0$ ,  $\rho$ ,  $\phi_0$ ,  $\phi_1$ ,  $\bar{P}$ , and  $t$ .
- v. Using your results in iii and iv, write down an equation that equates two expressions for  $B_T$  and can be solved for  $T$ . Say what you can about how changes in  $\phi_0$ ,  $\phi_1$ , and  $\bar{P}$  affect how long the initial regime is sustainable, Is it possible that  $T = \infty$ ,

- i.e. that the original policy regime can be sustained forever? Could the parameters be set so that  $T = 0$ ?
- vi. Is it possible that  $\tau$  jumps discontinuously at  $T$ ? Explain your answer.
- vii. Describe how the bond market would be likely to react if the government attempted to persist with its fixed- $P$  policy beyond  $T$ , and explain why this forces the government to abandon the policy at  $T$ .

## 2. BORROWING WHEN (NEARLY) BANKRUPT

Consider a firm that has available an investment process in which by investing  $S$  this period the firm receives a gross return of  $Sz$  next period. The gross rate of return  $z$  is random, distributed as  $N(1.05, .09)$  (Normal with mean 1.05, variance .09). The firm can borrow at the gross interest rate  $R$ . If it borrows  $B$  and invests  $S$  this period, its return next period is  $Sz - RB$ —unless it goes bankrupt. It goes bankrupt when  $Sz - RB < 0$ , in which case the firm's gross return is simply zero, not negative, and the lender only retrieves  $Sz$ , not the promised  $RB$ .

- i. Draw, sketch, or construct with a computer a plot of the expected rate of return to the firm as a function of  $B/N$ , assuming the firm's budget constraint sets  $S = B + N$  in the first period. Here  $N$  stands for the firm's net worth, which the firm takes as given. You will need to find the expectation of a "truncated normal" distribution. If  $X$  is  $N(0, \sigma^2)$ , and if  $Y = X$  whenever  $X > a$ , but  $Y = a$  if  $X \leq a$ , then  $E[Y] = \Phi(a/\sigma) \cdot a + \sigma\phi(a/\sigma)$ , where  $\Phi(\cdot)$  is the cdf of a standard normal ( $N(0,1)$ ) distribution and  $\phi(x) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} / \sqrt{2\pi}$  is the pdf of the standard normal. Both these functions can be looked up in tables or evaluated in most spreadsheets.
- ii. Comment on what your plot suggests about the effects on investment decisions of low net worth.

## 3. MARTINGALES AND BROWNIAN MOTION

- i. Suppose an asset price process  $Q(t)$  behaves as follows. For  $s$  between any successive integer dates  $t$  and  $t + 1$   $Q(s)$  follows a straight line with random slope  $\delta(t)$ , which remains constant over the interval  $(t, t + 1)$ . The  $\delta(t)$ 's are independent of one another and all normally distributed with mean zero and variance one. Is this a martingale process? Is it a Brownian motion? Does it provide an opportunity for an investor who can always borrow or lend at the same fixed interest rate  $r$  and invest in the security with price  $Q$  to make risk free returns at rate higher than  $r$ ?
- ii. Answer the same three questions for the case where  $Q$  instead behaves as follows:  $Q$  is constant, except at randomly timed moments at which it jumps discontinuously. The probability of a jump in any interval is uniform through time, and independent across non-overlapping time intervals. If a jump occurs, its probability distribution is  $N(.2Q(t), .09Q(t)^2)$