

## SIMS QUESTION FOR MACRO GENERAL

### FTPL in a simple Keynesian model

A representative consumer maximizes

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-\beta t} \log C_t dt \quad \text{subject to}$$
$$C_t + \tau + \frac{\dot{B}_t}{P_t} = Y_t + \frac{rB_t}{P_t}.$$

There is no uncertainty after the initial date. The consumer chooses the time paths of  $C$  and  $B$ , taking  $r$ ,  $P$ ,  $Y_t$  and  $\tau$  as given.

Government policy fixes  $r$  and  $\tau$  at positive, constant values. To keep the algebra simple, we assume  $r = \beta$ . Since this is a Keynesian model, instead of an endowment process or a production function we introduce a Phillips Curve, here an old-fashioned backward-looking one:

$$\dot{p} = \gamma(y - \bar{y}),$$

where  $p$  is the log of the price level and  $\bar{y}$  is a normal, or full-employment, level of the log of output. Again to keep the algebra simple, we assume  $\bar{y} = 0$ .

The government budget constraint is

$$\dot{B} = rB - P\tau.$$

Note that the Phillips curve is not forward-looking, so it implies that  $p$  (and thus also  $P$ ) cannot jump discontinuously at the initial date. Also, the government budget constraint implies that  $B$  cannot jump at the initial date.

(a) Display the social resource constraint.

Dividing the government budget constraint by  $P$  and subtracting it from the private budget constraint delivers  $C_t = Y_t$ .

(b) Using the private agent's optimality conditions and the other equations of this model, derive a differential equation system in real debt  $b$  and the log of consumption  $c$  that must be satisfied in equilibrium.

The FOC's are

$$\begin{aligned} \partial C : & \quad \frac{1}{C} = \lambda \\ \partial B : & \quad \frac{-\dot{\lambda}}{P} + \frac{\lambda \dot{P}}{P^2} + \beta \frac{\lambda}{P} = r \frac{\lambda}{P}. \end{aligned}$$

Solving to eliminate  $\lambda$  gives us

$$\frac{\dot{C}}{C} = r - \beta - \frac{\dot{P}}{P}.$$

Using the social resource constraint and the Phillips curve, and using lower case  $c$  for the log of consumption, this gives us

$$\dot{c} = r - \beta - \gamma(c - \bar{y}). \quad (*)$$

This equation is forward-looking, as it is based on the  $B$  FOC, so it does not rule out initial jumps in  $c$ . But it determines the time path of  $c$  from any given initial condition.

The government budget constraint becomes

$$\dot{b} + b\dot{p} = rb - \tau = \dot{b} + b\gamma(c - \bar{y})$$

- (c) Linearizing if necessary, determine whether the model has any stable solution and if so, whether it is unique.

The model has a steady state, where  $r = \beta$ ,  $\dot{c} = 0$ , and hence  $c = \bar{y}$  and  $\dot{p} = 0$ . The private FOC equation (\*) is already linear. A steady state for  $b$  therefore requires

$$b = \bar{b} = \tau/r$$

. Then linearizing the GBC delivers

$$\dot{b} + \bar{b}\gamma(c - \bar{y}) = rb - \tau,$$

The differential equation (\*) above is stable and implies (with  $r = \beta$ )

$$c_t = \bar{y} + (c_0 - \bar{y})e^{-\gamma t}.$$

Solving the GBC forward gives us

$$b_0 = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-rt}(\tau + \bar{b}\gamma(c - \bar{y})) dt = \frac{\tau}{r} + \frac{\bar{b}\gamma(c_0 - \bar{y})}{\gamma + r}. \quad (\dagger)$$

Since both  $B_0$  and  $P_0$  can't jump,  $b_0$  is predetermined, so  $c_0$  must adjust to make the equation above hold. Initial  $\dot{p}$  is then determined by the Phillips curve and  $\dot{c}$  by (\*). All initial values are thus uniquely determined.

- (d) Determine how initial  $c$  and  $\dot{p}$  move if there is a one-time, unanticipated increase in  $\tau$ , with the economy initially in steady state.

Equation ( $\dagger$ ) involves only future  $\tau$  values, so it holds with the new higher value of  $\tau$  in place. But then it is easy to see that, since  $b_0$  is fixed,  $c_0$  must decline to offset the increase in  $\tau$ . The fall in  $c_0$  lowers the inflation rate, thereby increasing the real rate of interest, thereby discounting the larger stream of future  $\tau$ 's more heavily to make their discounted value still match  $b_0$ . It is also possible to derive this conclusion without linearizing the government budget constraint, instead solving it forward in its nonlinear form and differentiating with respect to  $c$  and  $\tau$  at the  $c = \bar{y}$  steady state.